NARRIEL QUANTUM SEMANTIC MANIFESTO EXTENDED

A NARRIEL Manifesto on Meaning, Context, Interpretation, and Structural Change

Expanded critical edition • November 5, 2026

Document status: Conceptual manifesto with analytical extensions—not an experimental validation or an amendment to the bound NARRIEL Canon.

Executive proposition

Meaning is not simply retrieved from words. It emerges through the interaction of expressions, contexts, interpretive perspectives, and the structures that make some readings possible and others improbable. NARRIEL approaches this problem by examining relations, assumptions, contradictions, change over time, and the limits of warranted inference. “Quantum” in this manifesto is primarily a modeling analogy; it does not assert that language is literally governed by quantum physics.

I. The five foundational propositions

1 Meaning is contextually realized

A text can support several plausible interpretations. A particular setting privileges some of them without necessarily eliminating the rest. “Collapse” names an interpretive selection in the analogy—not a demonstrated physical event in language.

2 Meaning has an interpretive possibility space

Words and statements acquire distributions of plausible readings within linguistic, social, historical, and task-specific conditions. Those likelihoods must not be presented as measured probabilities unless a defined model and evidence support them.

3 Interpretation changes the operative semantic state

A reader is an active participant: attention, prior knowledge, questioning, and subsequent responses can reshape the meaning that becomes relevant in a discourse. The original claim of irreversibility is too strong as a universal statement; reinterpretation remains possible.

4 Meaning is relational, yet claims remain testable

Interpretations depend on relations among speaker, audience, context, institutions, and evidence. This does not abolish objective constraints or factual verification. Context dependence and arbitrary relativism are not the same thing.

5 Language selects a view of reality without creating all facts

Framing makes certain relations salient and obscures others. Language can organize collective understanding and action, but it does not manufacture the external world by interpretation alone. A good analysis preserves what was excluded and what remains unresolved.

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com

II. What changes from the original manifesto

Original emphasis	Clarification in this edition
“Superposition” until measurement	Multiple plausible readings until a context-dependent selection; metaphor unless formally specified
Words are probability spaces	Probability requires an explicit model, calibration, and a defined population of interpretations
Interpretation irreversibly alters text	Interpretation changes the discourse state; later revision may occur
No absolute objectivity	Perspective-sensitive interpretation coexists with constraints, evidence, and error correction
Language produces reality	Language shapes representations, decisions, and coordination—not all external facts

III. NARRIEL: from isolated statements to semantic architecture

The operative unit is not merely a keyword, sentiment, or individual claim. It is a reconstructed architecture of concepts, relations, presuppositions, interpretive commitments, and tensions. A structural reading asks not only what a statement says, but what must be true within its meaning system for it to remain coherent.

Symbols and concepts

Identify recurring terms and candidate meanings without silently fixing ambiguity.

Relations and dependencies

Map which propositions support, constrain, or contradict others.

Events and change

Compare the appearance, persistence, displacement, or weakening of relationships across time.

Contradictions

Distinguish a rhetorical disagreement from incompatible premises or mutually obstructing goals.

Interpretive residue

Retain suppressed alternatives, unresolved ambiguity, and competing readings rather than treating one answer as exhaustive.

IV. Semantic dynamics and structural instability

A meaning system may appear stable while its underlying relations shift. The key diagnostic is often not the intensity of one statement but the rate and direction of change: which assumptions cease to coordinate with observed conditions, which concepts acquire new roles, and which contradictions become structurally consequential.

In this framework, “semantic collapse” is a bounded analytical description of a loss of coherence or explanatory viability in a meaning architecture. It is not a synonym for an unfavorable headline, an isolated inconsistency, a predicted institutional failure, or the physics of wave-function collapse. A formal NARRIEL collapse determination requires the applicable M1/M2 contracts and their gates; this manifesto does not perform or replace that determination.

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com

V. A disciplined model of interpretation

Stage	Question	Required discipline
Source	What was actually said or recorded?	Preserve wording, date, provenance and scope
Context	Which audience, situation and background matter?	Specify, do not assume, contextual variables
Candidate readings	What meanings are genuinely plausible?	Keep alternatives and uncertainty visible
Structural test	Which dependencies and contradictions follow?	Separate observation from interpretation
Temporal comparison	What relations changed, and how fast?	Use consistent windows and comparable evidence
Conclusion	What does the evidence justify?	Bound claims, note falsifiers and missing data

VI. Illustrative case: “AI sovereignty”

The phrase “AI sovereignty” may mean domestic model development, computational infrastructure, control over data, regulatory authority, national security independence, or economic autonomy. In a government strategy, one reading may dominate; in a vendor presentation, another may. The semantic task is not to declare one universally correct meaning, but to identify which interpretation organizes decisions—and whether the promised outcomes are compatible with the chosen instruments.

For example, a policy that emphasizes technological independence while relying on externally controlled compute could contain a strategic tension. That is a hypothesis to investigate through procurement, investment, infrastructure, and governance evidence—not a finding established by the phrase alone.

VII. Epistemic boundaries

- Metaphor is not mechanism: quantum vocabulary is an analogy unless a formal probabilistic model is specified and compared against alternatives.
- Descriptive reconstruction is not endorsement: documenting a narrative does not validate its factual claims or political legitimacy.
- Salience is not truth: frequent or emotionally prominent interpretations are not thereby accurate.
- Correlation is not causation: temporal co-movement in discourse does not establish structural causality.
- A model is not a verdict: automated scores, weights, and traces require provenance and cannot overrule higher-priority constraints.
- Uncertainty is an output: incomplete data, alternative readings, and failed checks must remain visible.

VIII. Relationship to the bound NARRIEL architecture

The supplied snapshot identifies a bound Canon quartet—Prompt, Goal, Tone, and Contract—and a separate UrNarrativ, optional Narrativ layer, and three domain Packs (Architecture & Logic; Dynamics; Knowledge Formation). These layers must not be conflated. The Contract governs hard constraints, Goal specifies intent and audience, Tone governs style, and the Prompt establishes reading order. The Packs are supporting semantic-domain artifacts, not an independent authority to amend Canon.

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com

The supplied snapshot documents an M2 state and provenance trace, with M1 remaining unchanged and no independent second collapse decision permitted. Its recorded compliance report contains 13 passes and 3 warnings for that particular snapshot assembly; these are historical artifact metadata, not a test result for this new manifesto. This document makes no claim to have rerun the NARRIEL pipeline or validated the attached packages dynamically.

IX. A revised compact manifesto: ten commitments

01. Meaning is relational and context-sensitive, not a fixed property of isolated words.
02. Interpretations compete within bounded possibility spaces.
03. Context changes which interpretation becomes relevant; it does not guarantee certainty.
04. The interpreter participates in the discourse but does not erase independent evidence.
05. Semantic structures consist of relations, assumptions, dependencies, and tensions.
06. Contradictions matter when they constrain the coherence of the whole structure.
07. Change must be measured across comparable contexts and periods.
08. A selected interpretation leaves alternatives and uncertainty behind.
09. Explanatory power requires source fidelity, falsifiability, and disciplined inference.
10. The purpose of semantic analysis is better understanding—not manufactured certainty.

X. Operational research agenda

A testable extension would assemble a dated, attributable corpus; define a coding scheme for interpretations and relation types; compare independent coders or model versions; record disagreement and ambiguity; and test whether structural indicators add predictive or explanatory value over simpler baselines such as topic frequency or sentiment. Performance should be reported with explicit failure cases, not only compelling examples.

A formal probability model, if adopted, should declare its state space, context operators, interpretation outcomes, calibration data, and baseline comparisons. Any proposal to employ quantum-probability mathematics must demonstrate its added value rather than borrowing the vocabulary.

Sources, provenance, and editorial status

Primary source: “5 Sentences of Quantum Semantics,” supplied Word file, retaining five original propositions and its legal notice. The revised text is an editorial expansion, not a verbatim restatement.

NARRIEL internal references: supplied snapshot “semantic_analyst_20261010” (created October 10, 2026) and three QSEM WorldPack JSON artifacts, titled here by role: Architecture & Logic, Dynamics, and Knowledge Formation. Their serialized metadata and structural fields were inspected; they are not independently validated scientific literature.

Lenci, A. (2018). “Distributional Models of Word Meaning.” Annual Review of Linguistics 4:151–171. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-030514-125254

Boleda, G. (2020). “Distributional Semantics and Linguistic Theory.” Annual Review of Linguistics 6:213–234. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011619-030303

Bruza, P. D., et al. (2023). “Contextuality and context-sensitivity in probabilistic models of cognition.” Cognitive Psychology 140:101529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2022.101529

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com

Busemeyer, J. R., and Bruza, P. D. (2024). Quantum Models of Cognition and Decision, chapter 10, “Contextuality.” Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/quantum-models-of-cognition-and-decision/contextuality/B5D280220A08D1923B13F06D62D75448

Boleda, G. (2026). “Distributional Semantics.” Open Encyclopedia of Cognitive Science (July 1, 2026). https://oecs.mit.edu/pub/gbaucew9/

Disclaimer

Core Principle of NARRIEL
An identifiable semantic structure is an analytical finding—not automatic proof of its cause, prevalence, impact, or future development. © NARRIEL Semantic · narriel.com

Methodology, Analytical Validity, and Limitations

The analyses, reports, and assessments published on **NARRIEL.com** are intended to support the scientifically informed examination of semantic structures, public discourse, political communication, and systemic relationships. NARRIEL examines not only individual statements or events but, more importantly, their meanings, relationships, contradictions, and potential structural developments. The analyses are based on the documented evidence available at the time and the procedures applied under the NARRIEL Semantic Methodology, including the QSEM methodology where its use is explicitly identified.

1. Scientific and Methodological Context

NARRIEL represents an independent analytical approach. The application of semantic methods does not, in itself, establish scientific validation, statistical representativeness, or empirical completeness. Findings must be interpreted within the context of the specific research question, source material, data collection conditions, and documented methodological limitations.

2. Distinguishing Facts, Interpretations, and Hypotheses

NARRIEL distinguishes among documented observations, third-party statements, analytical interpretations, and hypotheses derived from those interpretations. The reconstruction of a narrative does not constitute confirmation of that narrative or endorsement of the positions expressed within it. Political, social, or institutional attributions are not accepted as established facts without supporting evidence.

3. Analytical Significance and Uncertainty

The identification of semantic patterns does not automatically establish their prevalence in society, actual causes, coordinated influence, or future development.In particular, linguistic similarities alone do not demonstrate common coordination, manipulative intent, or actual behavioral effects.Where reliable evidence is unavailable, the corresponding conclusions remain explicitly unresolved.

4. Political Independence and Neutrality

NARRIEL is committed to objective, transparent, and politically independent analysis. The examination of political positions, social conflicts, or controversial narratives does not constitute support for or opposition to any political party, organization, ideology, or social group. Critical assessments are based on documented statements, semantic relationships, and identifiable contradictions within the subject matter under examination.

5. Sources, Responsibility, and Timeliness

External content and sources remain the responsibility of their respective authors and publishers. NARRIEL makes no representations or warranties regarding the accuracy, completeness, or continued availability of information obtained from external sources. Analyses reflect the state of knowledge documented at the specified time of investigation. Subsequent developments may affect the validity, relevance, or interpretation of the findings.

6. Use of Artificial Intelligence

NARRIEL may employ artificial intelligence–assisted methods to collect, organize, structure, and semantically analyze information. Such methods may produce errors, omissions, or inaccurate interpretations. Technical analysis must not be equated with independent scientific, journalistic, or legal verification. The nature and extent of the verification procedures actually performed are specified in the applicable methodological documentation.

7. Copyright, Intellectual Property, and Restrictions on Use

© NARRIEL Semantic – All Rights Reserved.
All content published on **NARRIEL.com**, including but not limited to analyses, reports, technical articles, graphics, visualizations, semantic models, methodological documentation, and other original materials, is protected by copyright or other applicable intellectual property rights to the extent that such content meets the requirements

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com

for protection under applicable law. The exclusive rights to use, reproduce, distribute, and commercially exploit content created by NARRIEL and protected under applicable law belong to the respective rights holders. **Without prior express written authorization, the following activities are prohibited to the extent that they require permission under applicable law:**

1. Copying, reproducing, distributing, or republishing protected content in its entirety or in substantial part.
2. Incorporating analyses, reports, graphics, or protected methodological representations into independent commercial products, services, publications, or consulting offerings.
3. Modifying, translating, systematically compiling, adapting, or commercially exploiting protected content in derivative forms where authorization is legally required.
4. Redistributing protected content after removing or altering existing copyright notices, source references, or attribution information.
5. Automatically collecting, extracting, or using protected content for artificial intelligence systems, training datasets, or comparable data-processing activities where authorization is required and no applicable statutory exception or permission exists.

The public availability of content on **NARRIEL.com** does not grant any additional license or authorization to use or commercially exploit that content. **Uses permitted by applicable law**, including lawful quotation under relevant copyright provisions, remain unaffected. The use of individual findings or facts is not prohibited solely by this notice where no exclusive intellectual property rights apply. NARRIEL expressly reserves the right to pursue legal remedies against unauthorized use of protected content, including claims for injunctive relief, disclosure of relevant information, and monetary damages, where available under applicable law. **Requests for licensing, scientific collaboration, or commercial use agreements require prior authorization from the respective rights holder.**

© NARRIEL Semantic · narriel.com · All Rights Reserved.

November 5, 2026 · © 2026 NARRIEL Semantic. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction, republication, and commercial use of protected content require prior authorization unless otherwise permitted by law.
narriel.com